1		STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
2		PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
3		
4	October 21,	2020 - 10:07 a.m.
5	[Re.	mote Hearing conducted via Webex]
6		
7		
8	RE:	DG 20-154
9		NORTHERN UTILITIES, INC. 2020-2021 Cost of Gas.
LO		
L 1		
L 2	PRESENT:	Chairwoman Dianne Martin, Presiding Cmsr. Kathryn M. Bailey
L 3		
L 4		Jody Carmody, Clerk Eric Wind, PUC Remote Hearing Host
L 5	APPEARANCES:	Reptg. Northern Utilities, Inc.: Patrick H. Taylor, Esq.
L 6		Reptg. Residential Ratepayers:
L 7		Christa Shute, Esq. Office of Consumer Advocate
L 8		Reptg. PUC Staff:
L 9		Mary E. Schwarzer, Esq. Stephen Frink, Dir./Gas & Water Div.
20		Al-Azad Iqbal, Gas & Water Division
21		
22		
23	Court Rep	oorter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52
24		

1		
2	INDEX	
3		PAGE NO.
4	WITNESS PANEL: CHRISTOPHER A. KAHL	
5	FRANCIS X. WELLS S. ELENA DEMERIS	
6	Direct examination by Mr. Taylor	11
7	Cross-examination by Ms. Schwarzer	14, 27
8	Interrogatories by Cmsr. Bailey	23
9	Interrogatories by Chairwoman Martin	28
10	Cross-examination by Ms. Shute	33
11		
12	* * *	
13		
14	CLOSING STATEMENTS BY:	
15	Ms. Shute	38
16	Ms. Schwarzer	38
17	Mr. Taylor	3 9
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1			
2		EXHIBITS	
3	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
4	1	Order of Notice	premarked
5	2	Northern Utilities, Inc. 2020-2021 Cost of Gas filing	premarked
6		[REDACTED - For PUBLIC Use]	
7	3	Northern Utilities, Inc. 2020-2021 Cost of Gas filing	premarked
8		{CONFIDENTIAL & PROPRIETARY}	
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
1,8			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

PROCEEDING

2.

1.3

1 4

2.1

2.2

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. We're here this morning in DG 20-154 for a hearing regarding the Northern Utilities, Incorporated, 2020 through 2021 Cost of Gas filing.

I need to make the findings related to this remote hearing.

As Chairwoman of the Public Utilities
Commission, I find that due to the State of
Emergency declared by the Governor as a result of
the COVID-19 pandemic, and in accordance with the
Governor's Emergency Order Number 12, pursuant to
Executive Order 2020-04, this public body is
authorized to meet electronically. Please note
that there is no physical location to observe and
listen contemporaneously to this hearing, which
was authorized pursuant to the Governor's
Emergency Order.

However, in accordance with the Emergency Order, I am confirming that we are utilizing Webex for this electronic hearing. All members of the Commission have the ability to communicate contemporaneously during this hearing, and the public has access to

contemporaneously listen and, if necessary,
participate.

We previously gave notice to the public

of the necessary information for accessing this hearing in the Order of Notice. If anybody has a problem, please call (603)271-2431. In the event the public is unable to access the hearing, the hearing will be adjourned and rescheduled.

All right. Let's take roll call attendance. My name is Dianne Martin. I am the Chairwoman of the Public Utilities Commission.

And I am alone.

Commissioner Bailey.

CMSR. BAILEY: Commissioner Kathryn
Bailey. And I am alone, but expecting a delivery
person to come into my house at some point.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Thank you.

And let's take appearances.

Mr. Taylor.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

MR. TAYLOR: Good morning,

Commissioners. This is Patrick Taylor, on behalf

of Northern Utilities, Inc., doing business as

Unitil. And I'll also just introduce some of the

other folks who are here on the line with me 1 2 today: Christopher Kahl, Francis Wells, and 3 Elena Demeris will all be appearing as witnesses. 4 And I'll also note that, even though 5 they are not panelists, André Francoeur, 6 Christopher Goulding, and Dan Main from our 7 Company are all observing as members of the public, and are available as well. 9 And, I, too, am alone, at least in this There is a house full of remote learners. 10 room. 11 But they have been instructed not to enter the 12 room, so --1.3 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: We'll see if your remote learners do better than mine. 14 15 All right. Ms. Shute. 16 MS. SHUTE: Good morning, 17 Commissioners. Christa Shute, Staff Attorney for 18 the Office of the Consumer Advocate, here 19 representing residential ratepayers. 20 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Thank you. And 21 Ms. Schwarzer. 2.2 MS. SCHWARZER: Good morning, Madam

Schwarzer, Staff Attorney with the Public

Chairwoman and Commissioner Bailey. I am Mary

23

24

Utilities Commission. And with me this morning
is Stephen Frink, the Director of Water and Gas
Division; Al-Azad Iqbal, who is a Utility Analyst
in that division.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Great.
Thank you.

I have Exhibits 1, 2, 3 prefiled and premarked for identification. I also have that the Company is relying upon Puc 201.06 and .07 for confidential treatment of supplier information. And, so, parties should just note that and be careful not to disclose confidential information in the public session.

Any other matters we need to talk about before we go to witnesses?

[No verbal response.]

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Oh,

Ms. Schwarzer.

7

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

MS. SCHWARZER: I believe the utility wanted to address a procedural -- Northern wanted to address a procedural point.

MR. TAYLOR: Indeed. And I was just sort of frantically trying to unmute my button there. So, thank you, Attorney Schwarzer, for

raising that.

1.3

2.2

Yes. Actually, there are two things that I just want to raise for the Commission.

One relates to the exhibits that we filed, Hearing Exhibits 2 and 3. And I just want to point out that, when we made our initial filing, the Company had inadvertently omitted a clean version and a redline version of one tariff page. And we subsequently filed that in the docket a couple days later. When I filed Hearing Exhibit 2 and Hearing Exhibit 3, I inserted those tariff pages into the filing, and then redid the Bates numbering.

And, so, just for the parties'
references, when we're referencing the Company's
cost of gas filing today, the exhibits that have
been filed in this docket have a different Bates
Page numbering. It's off by two, compared to
what we initially filed.

And, so, I raise that only just to -to make sure that people are referencing the
exhibits that we filed, as opposed to the initial
filing, because otherwise the Bates Page numbers
may not match up when we're making reference to

it.

1.3

2.2

The other thing that I wanted to raise is the Commission issued its Order of Notice, which has been filed by the Staff as an exhibit in this matter, on September 25th. The Order of Notice directed the Company to publish a copy of the notice on the Company's website within one business day after the date of issue, and the document publication by affidavit filed with Commission no later than 10:00 a.m. on October 8th.

The Company did make publication on its website as directed. However, the Company filed its proof of publication on October 9, one day late.

So, the Company hereby moves the Commission to grant the Company a waiver of the October 8th filing deadline as set forth in the September 25th Order of Notice, and find that the Company has substantially complied with the Order of Notice. No parties were prejudiced in their ability to participate in this case, and the Commission is not prejudiced in its ability to render a decision in the matter. And, as such,

1	good cause exists to grant the Company a waiver.
2	And that's the only other procedural
3	issue I wanted to raise.
4	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
5	Any objection to the waiver?
6	MS. SCHWARZER: None.
7	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. We'll
8	address that in our order. Thank you for that,
9	and thank you for clarifying the exhibits and the
LO	Bates issues. That's helpful.
L 1	All right. Let's go to the witnesses
L 2	then. Steve, could you please swear in the
L 3	witnesses.
L 4	(Whereupon Christopher A. Kahl,
L 5	Francis X. Wells, and S. Elena Demeris
L 6	were duly sworn by the Court Reporter.)
L 7	CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
L 8	Mr. Taylor.
L 9	MR. TAYLOR: I'm going to ask the
20	witnesses or, I'm going to ask the witnesses
21	some questions, starting with Mr. Kahl.
22	CHRISTOPHER A. KAHL, SWORN
23	FRANCIS X. WELLS, SWORN
2.4	S. ELENA DEMERIS, SWORN

```
1
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
 2
    BY MR. TAYLOR:
         Mr. Kahl, can us please state your name and your
 3
 4
         position within the Company?
 5
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Kahl, you're on
 6
         mute.
 7
    BY THE WITNESS:
 8
          (Kahl) Sorry about that. It's Christopher Kahl,
 9
         Senior Regulatory Analyst.
10
    BY MR. TAYLOR:
11
         And have you previously testified before the
12
         Commission, Mr. Kahl?
         (Kahl) Yes, I have.
1.3
14
         If you could please refer to Hearing Exhibit 2,
15
         or Hearing Exhibit 3, and specifically starting
16
         at Bates Page 034 is testimony bearing your name.
17
         Was this testimony prepared by you or under your
18
         direction?
19
         (Kahl) Yes, it was.
    Α
20
         And were the schedules that accompany your
21
         testimony prepared by you or under your
2.2
         direction?
23
    Α
          (Kahl) Yes.
24
         Do you have any changes or corrections to your
```

```
1
         testimony or schedules that you wish to note on
 2
         the record today?
 3
    Α
          (Kahl) No.
 4
         And just with respect to your testimony, if you
 5
         were asked the same questions in your prefiled
 6
         testimony today, would your answers be the same?
 7
    Α
         (Kahl) Yes, they would be.
 8
         Mr. Wells, could you please give your name and
 9
         position within the Company?
10
         (Wells) My name is Francis Wells. I am the
11
         Manager of Energy Planning for Unitil Service
12
         Corp.
13
         Have you previously testified before the
    Q
         Commission?
14
15
         (Wells) Yes.
    Α
16
         And, if you could please refer to the Hearing
17
         Exhibit, specifically starting at Bates Page 068,
18
         there's testimony bearing your name. Was this
19
         testimony prepared by you or under your
20
         direction?
21
          (Wells) Yes.
    Α
22
    Q
         And were the schedules that accompany your
23
         testimony prepared by you or under your
24
         direction?
```

1 (Wells) Yes. 2 Do you have any changes or corrections to your 3 testimony or schedules that you'd like to note on 4 the record today? 5 (Wells) No. 6 And, with respect to your testimony, if you were 7 asked the same questions in your prefiled 8 testimony today, would your answers be the same? 9 Α (Wells) Yes. 10 Thank you. And, finally, Ms. Demeris, can you 11 please state your name and your position with the 12 Company? 13 (Demeris) Yes. My name is Elena Demeris. I'm a Α 14 Senior Regulatory Analyst. 15 And have you previously testified before the 0 16 Commission? 17 Α (Demeris) Yes, I have. 18 Referring to the Hearing Exhibits, starting at Q 19 Bates Page 095, there's testimony bearing your 20 Was this testimony prepared by you or 21 under your direction? 22 Α (Demeris) Yes. 23 And were the schedules that accompany your 24 testimony prepared by you or under your

```
1
         direction?
 2
         (Demeris) Yes.
 3
         Do you have any changes or corrections to your
 4
         testimony or schedules that you want note on the
 5
         record today?
 6
         (Demeris) I do. On Bates Page 290 and Bates Page
         305, there are references to "Schedule 10B", and
 7
         those should be "Schedule 17-FXW".
 8
 9
    Q
         Thank you.
10
         (Demeris) Thank you.
11
         And with respect to your testimony, if you were
12
         asked the same questions in your prefiled
13
         testimony today, would your answers be the same?
14
    Α
         (Demeris) Yes.
                   MR. TAYLOR: I have no further
15
16
         questions for the witnesses.
17
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. I'LL begin
18
         with Ms. Shute, do you have questions?
19
                   MS. SHUTE: I do not. Thank you.
20
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: And Ms. Schwarzer?
21
                   MS. SCHWARZER: Yes. Thank you, Madam
22
         Chairwoman.
23
                       CROSS-EXAMINATION
24
    BY MS. SCHWARZER:
```

1	Q	I would like to ask what the major factors are
2		that account for such a significant increase in
3		rates from last winter? This is directed to the
4		panel.
5	А	(Kahl) This is Chris, Chris Kahl. Yes. The
6		rates are higher for a number of reasons. We
7		have higher demand costs, and that is due to
8		having two new contracts that are scheduled for
9		November 1st to come on line.
L 0		Also, if we look at last year's rates,
L 1		last year's rates were actually on the low side,
L 2		you could say "unusually low". We had last year
L 3		a reconciliation over-recovery; this year we have
L 4		a reconciliation under-recovery.
L 5		We had higher asset management or AMA
L 6		revenues included last year. We have lower
L 7		revenues this year. And, again, that is going to
L 8		increase your demand costs.
L 9		Those are the main issues. We also
20		have lower sales projected this year compared to
21		last year.
22		For reference, if you look at some of
23		the tariff pages, I believe the redline version
2 4		of tariff pages, I believe it's 40 and 41, they

```
1
         will compare, and you can see what the demand
 2
         charges were filed last year compared to this
 3
         year. And that will help highlight some of those
 4
         differences. You are muted.
 5
         Thank you, Mr. Kahl.
                               In your discussion of the
 6
         increased demand charges, and you referred to two
 7
         new contracts. Is that the same thing as the new
 8
         pipeline in the portfolio or is that an
         additional factor?
 9
10
         (Wells) It is the new pipeline contracts that we
11
         are anticipating coming on line in November.
12
         Thank you. How will the new pipeline be used in
13
         the winter, in terms of load factor and total
14
         Dth? And what resources are these replacing
15
         compared to last winter?
16
         (Wells) The best place to see the utilization of
17
         the resources, the new resources, is actually in
18
         my testimony. And I apologize, I usually have a
19
         nice tabulated hardcopy of this filing. But --
20
         Might it be Bates 082 to 086? Or, perhaps not.
         (Wells) No. I mean, I suppose that -- okay. So,
21
    Α
22
         I'm just going to answer the question
         contemporaneously, rather than relying directly
23
24
         on the numbers. I'm going to say that, generally
```

1 speaking, the new resources are running in the 2 November through March, primarily November 3 through March resources, as very high load factor 4 in those months, nearly at 100 percent during our 5 winter peak. Utilization is lower in the 6 off-peak, April through October, generally 7 speaking. 8 These resources are replacing base load supplies on -- for PXP, that would be PNGTS base 9 10 load supplies, as PXP is a PNGTS capacity 11 project. And, for the Atlantic Bridge capacity, 12 it's generally replacing Maritimes delivered base 13 load supply. 14 I just want to make sure I'm not on mute. Great. 15 Since you mentioned them, could you give an 16 update on the status of the PXP, Portland XPress, 17

update on the status of the PXP, Portland XPress, and the AB, Atlantic Bridge, projects?

A (Wells) So, we anticipate that the PXP will be available on November 1st. That project is continuing on construction, which they anticipate to be sufficiently complete for service beginning November 1st. All of the regulatory hurdles related to that project have been met.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Atlantic Bridge, the update I would

provide, from my prefiled testimony, is that, since the order essentially accepting Algonquin's rehearing request, a number of things have happened.

First, I would -- first, the

Massachusetts DEP has actually approved the -or, we reaffirmed, if you will, the air permit

that was the subject of the initial court

challenge. So, the issues that led to the First

Circuit Court of Appeals order remanding the air

permit for a best available control technology

analysis have been resolved by the DEP pursuant

to that order.

Secondly, the FERC has approved the placement of the Weymouth compressor and the Maritimes facilities needed to facilitate

Atlantic Bridge capacity. Those facilities have been placed into service pursuant to -- pursuant to FERC authorization.

Unfortunately, in the days prior to the initiation of contracts, there were -- there was an incident at the Weymouth compressor station that required a -- or, there was an emergency shutdown. The root cause of that initial

shutdown is under investigation, pursuant to a 1 2 Corrective Action Order that was issued by the 3 That's the Pipeline and Hazardous 4 Material Safety Administration. 5 Currently, our Atlantic Bridge contract 6 is now pending resolution of the -- of that 7 So, there is a force majeure on Atlantic outage. 8 Bridge. We are in contact with Enbridge, the 9 parent company of Algonquin, ultimately the 10 operator of the Weymouth compressor. And 11 anticipate that, as soon as it is safe to do so, 12 that our contract will begin service. 13 Do you anticipate that will be before November 14 1st? Do you anticipate supplier capacity issues 15 pending that investigation? 16 (Wells) I do not anticipate it will be before 17 November 1st. 18 Is that a concern for you or do you have it 19 covered? 20 (Wells) At this time, no. If, as I said in my 21 prefiled testimony, if there is an extended delay 22 in either of these projects, we would purchase 23 delivered supplies, as we had previously to our

entering these contracts, in order to provide any

24

needed supply, if there was any supply 1 2 deficiency, that the remainder of the portfolio 3 couldn't handle, until such time as those 4 resources were to become available. So, at this 5 point, I don't -- I don't foresee an issue. 6 If I come to believe that these 7 outages -- or, the outage would be extended, just 8 to clarify, I said "the outages", I meant "the 9 outage of Atlantic Bridge would be extended", 10 then we would certainly reach out to the parties 11 and alert them to that development. 12 Thank you. Does this forecast differ from last 13 year's? And how has COVID impact -- how has the 14 COVID impact been incorporated into the forecast, 15 if any? 16 (Wells) So, in my prefiled testimony, I do 17 discuss that we -- the Company made an adjustment 18 for COVID-19. Essentially, my understanding of 19 our analysis is that we basically indexed the 20 sales forecast for the coming, you know, for the 21 coming winter based on the limited amount of 22 COVID-19 impacted sales data that we had.

know, keeping in mind that, originally, at the

time, our forecast, you know, really only -- the

23

24

```
1
         only actual data that we have that pertains to a
 2
         winter period usage was March and April of 2020.
 3
         So, projecting that forward, I'm sure it was a
 4
         challenge. And, so, we're using those -- that
 5
         limited amount of winter data as the basis for
 6
         projecting how this winter would -- how the
 7
         system will perform in this coming winter.
 8
         I have just a few more questions, and I thank you
 9
         for your patience. How does the current NYMEX
10
         futures price compare to those used in the cost
11
         of gas filing?
         (Wells) I have to admit I did not check the
12
1.3
         current NYMEX price this morning. But when I
14
         last checked, they seemed to be relatively close.
15
         It wasn't a major change. So, I would say that
16
         the NYMEX update would not yield a significant
17
         change in the filed rate.
18
         And could you estimate that you last looked at
19
         the NYMEX rate in the last four days? In the
20
         last week? When did you last --
21
         (Wells) It was last week.
22
         Does the proposed maximum cost of gas rates allow
23
         enough flexibility to absorb normal price
24
         fluctuations through monthly rate adjustments
```

```
without adjusting the rate at this time?
 1
         (Kahl) Yes. Yes.
 2
 3
         Mr. Kahl, thank you. And has the Company
 4
         received a final --
 5
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Schwarzer, we
 6
         missed part of your question.
 7
                   Now you're on mute. If you can unmute
 8
         and restate the question.
 9
                   MS. SCHWARZER: Thank you, Madam Chair.
    BY MS. SCHWARZER:
10
11
         Has the Company received a final or draft audit
12
         report from the Commission Audit Staff on its
13
         audit of last year's cost of gas reconciliation?
14
         And, if so, would you summarize the Audit Staff's
15
         findings and conclusion?
16
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Kahl, you're on
17
         mute.
18
                   WITNESS KAHL: Can you hear me? Okay.
19
    BY THE WITNESS:
20
         (Kahl) Yes. We did get a final order on that.
21
         And let me pull it up right now. Hold on.
22
                   Yes. We did get, basically, a clean
23
         order on that. And --
24
    BY MS. SCHWARZER:
```

```
1
         I'm sorry, Mr. Kahl. You mean "audit", not
 2
         "order", correct?
 3
    Α
         (Kahl) Yes. Yes. Actually, here -- I have it
 4
         here. The summary: Audit reviewed all expenses
 5
         and revenues with the annual cost of gas period
 6
         of August 2019 through July 2020, and the
 7
         estimated expenses and revenues for August 2020
 8
         through October 2020. The report -- the reported
 9
         activity for the 2019-2020 annual period as
10
         stated is deemed correct, with my stated
11
         under-recovery of $755,934.
12
                   MS. SCHWARZER: Thank you very much.
1.3
         have no further questions.
14
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Thank
15
         you. Commissioner Bailey.
16
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Thank you. Good
17
         morning. Ms. Schwarzer asked most of my
18
         questions -- I think all of them for Mr. Wells.
19
         I have some questions for Mr. Kahl.
20
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Mr. Kahl, can you
21
         mute yourself in between? Thank you.
2.2
    BY CMSR. BAILEY:
23
         I'm looking for the Bates Page. On Page 57,
24
         Mr. Kahl, where you talk about write-offs for
```

uncollectibles, can you tell me how that compares 1 2 with -- how COVID has affected the 3 uncollectibles? 4 (Kahl) That's, I think, somewhat difficult to 5 answer, because there was a moratorium on 6 shutoffs. And I did speak with someone in our 7 Billing Department just yesterday, just to try to 8 get an update. I can -- you may be aware, but 9 the shutoff moratorium has been kind of gradually 10 lifted, and the Company can begin some shutoffs for commercial customers. I believe it can begin 11 12 them for non-low income residential in November. 13 However, I was told the Company is trying to be 14 very flexible, and really working very hard to 15 try to get customers, you know, onto a payment 16 plan if at all possible. 17 But it's really difficult to say how we 18 think it's going to end up or how that bad debt 19 estimate that we have in the cost of gas is going 20 to come out throughout this -- throughout this 21 winter, upcoming winter period. 22 So, what you're saying is that you don't really 23 know what the bad debt is, because there is no 24 bad debt resulting from COVID yet, because it

```
1
         hasn't gotten to that point?
 2
         (Kahl) Yes.
 3
         Okay. So, how did you -- how did you estimate
 4
         the bad debt for this filing? Did you base it on
 5
         last year's bad debt?
 6
         (Kahl) Actually, again, our Collection Department
 7
         does come up with their projection of what they
 8
         thought the bad debt would be, with very limited
 9
         information. So, this is done more or less, you
10
         know, early to mid summer period. And they had
11
         noticed, I believe, that early, like January,
12
         February, I believe, write-offs were a bit
13
         smaller than what they had seen. So, they -- I
14
         think they reduced their projection down just a
15
         slight amount, I think it was around 450,000 the
16
         prior year, this year it's about 400,000, for the
17
         total -- the total New Hampshire Division.
18
         Okay. Thanks. Let me just scroll to my next
    Q
19
         question.
20
                   The carryover sentence between Bates
21
         Page 066 and 067 -- sorry -- it says that you are
22
         projecting a "target balance over-collection of
23
         $5.4 million." Can you explain that to me?
         would you target an over-collection?
24
```

```
1
         (Kahl) In terms of an annual cost of gas, the way
 2
         it is designed is that we will over collect
 3
         during the winter period, and under collect
 4
         during the summer period. And, so, we want to
 5
         try to have a target level of where we think we
 6
         should be at the end of April. Again, if we're
 7
         incurring significant demand costs every month,
 8
         all twelve months, in the summer months you're
 9
         not going to recover those costs, based on the
10
         current design of the cost of gas rates. So,
11
         you're going to over collect during the winter
12
         months. And, so, we're trying to have a target
13
         level that we can set in order to determine if we
14
         think we are over or under collecting our
15
         expenses, our cost of gas expenses.
16
         So, said another way, are you saying that you're
17
         collecting the demand charges in the winter,
18
         rather than in summer?
19
         (Kahl) We are collecting the bulk of them in the
    Α
20
         winter, yes.
21
                   CMSR. BAILEY: Okay. Thank you. All
22
         right. Madam Chair, that's all I have. Thank
23
         you.
24
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Schwarzer.
```

```
1
                   MS. SCHWARZER:
                                    Thank you. If I could
 2
         just ask a follow-up?
 3
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: We lost you.
                   MS. SCHWARZER: That was something
 4
 5
         weird. Am I still --
 6
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: We lost you for a
 7
         second there. Go ahead and try again.
 8
                   MS. SCHWARZER: Thank you.
9
    BY MS. SCHWARZER:
10
         Mr. Kahl, would it be possible for you to
11
         estimate a percentage of demand charges that your
12
         target has for the summer and winter collections?
13
         For example, would you say it's 70/30 or 80/20 or
14
         something else?
15
         (Kahl) Yes. I am going to take a look at the
    Α
16
         filing. So, just give me a moment to find the
17
         page. (Short pause) Okay. I found the page.
18
         What page is that, sir?
19
         (Kahl) Yes. This would be Page 135. And this is
    Α
20
         from my Schedule CAK-5, and it is Page 4 of 5.
21
         And what this does is it takes the demand costs
22
         that have been allocated to the New Hampshire and
23
         the Maine Divisions. And it allocates these
24
         demand costs to the seasons. So, as we look on
```

```
the bottom block of that page, you're seeing, and
 1
 2
         to the far right, you're seeing summer and winter
 3
         allocations of costs, the percentages.
 4
                   And we can see here that we're
 5
         allocating somewhere around 90 percent of those
 6
         demand costs to the winter period.
 7
    Q
         Thank you. I just want to make sure I'm on the
 8
         right line. On Bates Page 135, can you give me a
         line number?
 9
10
         (Kahl) You can look at Line Number 80, for
11
         instance.
12
         I'm sorry? I just didn't hear you.
1.3
         (Kahl) Line Number 80.
14
                   MS. SCHWARZER: Okay. Yes. Thank you
15
         very much. No further questions.
16
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. I just have
17
         a couple questions.
18
    BY CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN:
19
         One, if you look at Bates Page 030, regarding
20
         capacity allocators, can you explain the changes
21
         there? This may be a question for Mr. Wells.
22
    Α
         (Wells) Yes. I prepared the capacity allocators.
23
         So, capacity allocators are actually calculated
24
         in Schedule 22. And, generally, the change in
```

the allocators is attributable to a change in the portfolio. So, generally, if you look at the -you see that the pipeline allocator is going up,
that is attributable to the fact that we actually
have more capacity this year that is designated
as pipeline capacity. So, the new resources that
came into our portfolio, or will come into our
portfolio for the winter period, are pipeline
capacity resources. And, so, all of our
customers will be utilizing more pipeline
capacity on our design day. And, so, therefore
they're allocated more pipeline.

Generally, the -- get back to -- you know, the storage allocations are relatively close to what they were the year prior. And this is attributable to the fact that our storage resources are generally the same as -- or, they are the same as last year.

And so, that the -- there are two factors that really affect capacity allocators.

One is the portfolio itself, which, as I stated, we have higher pipeline, the same storage, and because we have higher pipeline, we actually have less peaking overall. And, so, the other factor

is the design day itself. And, so, our portfolio is actually reflecting higher pipeline resources, because we have new pipeline capacity that will be starting, and lower peaking, because some of the Granite capacity that had been designated as peaking under our tariff, basically, any Granite capacity that doesn't have either pipeline or storage capacity upstream of it is allocated as peaking capacity. And, so, we have less of that this year, because we are going — we anticipate using it to — or, supplying it with our Portland PXP capacity.

And, so, generally speaking, for both high and low winter use customers, we're going to see higher pipeline capacity numbers and lower peaking numbers, and roughly the same storage numbers. You know, the design day is lower than was projected last year, but it is consistent with what we actually ended up experiencing last year.

So, I sort of indexed the design day to last winter on a systemwide basis, just wanting to be conservative, i.e., maybe higher, even though the Company should be seeing some -- we

believe that revenue will be lower due to the 1 2 COVID-19 impacts. We don't have enough 3 experience to say that our design day will be 4 lower at this point. So, I wanted to keep those 5 design days relative to our recent experience. 6 And, so, those combination of factors 7 led to the capacity allocators that we're 8 proposing in this filing. Thank you. That was very helpful. And one of 9 10 the initial questions from counsel for Staff 11 related to the major factors that contributed to 12 the increase from last year. One of those was 13 the reconciliation of under-recovery. Can you 14 tell me more about the under-recovery? And I'm 15 not sure which witness this would relate to, and 16 why that's the case? 17 Α (Kahl) Yes. In terms of the under-recovery, you 18 know, this is, again, the ending balance from the 19 prior year. It varies each year. You can under 20 or over recover based on how your sales compare 21 verse forecasted. And a big factor, of course, 22 is how NYMEX prices behave during the year 23 compared to how you projected them. 24 So, I mean, that, in a nutshell, is the

```
1
         reason you're going to have these reconciliation
 2
         balances. So, from year to year, you can have a
 3
         positive or a negative reconciliation balance.
 4
         And last year, we ended up with an
 5
         over-collection; this year projecting a
 6
         under-collection.
 7
    Q
         I think you had stated that last year's was
 8
         unusually low --
 9
                    [Court reporter interruption due to
10
                    indecipherable audio. 1
11
    BY CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN:
12
         I think my question was, given your earlier
1.3
         comment about last year as being unusually low,
14
         and then this year's, and maybe I have that mixed
15
         up with demand, the overall demand?
16
         (Kahl) Yes. I think I was saying the rates were
17
         on the low side last year, unusually low, and
18
         that that was due to a combination of factors.
19
         So that was you had the over-collection, which
20
         would lower rates; you had lower demand costs,
21
         which would lower rates; and tied to those demand
2.2
         costs was asset management revenue, and we were
23
         getting more revenue last year. And so, again,
24
         all those combined to give us a lower cost of gas
```

```
1
         rate.
 2
         Which essentially just caused a further
 3
         discrepancy from last year to this year, that is
 4
         why the increase is so significant?
 5
         (Kahl) Yes.
 6
         Okay. All right.
 7
         (Kahl) I do want to throw in -- I'm sorry. I do
 8
         want to just throw in that sales are lower,
         projected to be lower this year. I believe I
 9
10
         mentioned it earlier. But, as you lower sales,
11
         you're going to put -- it's going to have an
12
         impact of increasing your rates.
1.3
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you
14
         for that. I don't have other questions. But I
15
         do think Ms. Shute had her hand up.
16
                   MS. SHUTE: Thank you, Chairwoman
17
         Martin.
18
                CROSS-EXAMINATION (continued)
    BY MS. SHUTE:
19
20
         I just wanted to follow up on a question, the
21
         question that Commissioner Bailey posed around
22
         the demand charges and when they're collected.
23
                   Could you identify what the percentage
24
         of sales are, commercial to residential, in your
```

```
winter months versus your summer months please?
 1
 2
         (Kahl) I'll calculate that quickly. I have about
 3
         19 percent, is your summer percentage of total.
 4
         So, in the summer, the -- the percentage of what?
 5
         Is the residential versus the commercial or --
 6
         (Kahl) No. That summer sales are about 19
 7
         percent of total annual sales.
 8
             Okay. My question was actually -- thank
    Q
 9
         you. That's also helpful. But my question is
10
         actually what percentage of your sales in the
11
         winter are commercial and what percentage is
         residential?
12
13
         (Kahl) I could answer that for you, but it will
    Α
14
         take me a minute. So, --
15
         And then, I'm just looking for the comparison to
    0
16
         the summer. Like, is there a substantial shift?
17
         Is the majority of sales in the summer
18
         commercial, whereas, yes, in the wintertime,
19
         there's more of a balance?
20
         (Kahl) Yes, there's definitely more sales
    Α
21
         commercial -- let me restate that. There's
22
         definitely a higher percentage of sales in the
23
         summertime that are commercial and industrial,
24
         and it's definitely quite noticeable.
```

```
Again, if I -- if I get back to our
 1
 2
         filing. For instance, I can point you to Page
 3
         137, Bates Page 137, and that is showing demand.
 4
         And, if we look on that page, at Lines 13, 14,
 5
         15, you can see where, in the winter, residential
 6
         is fairly similar to the low load factor
 7
         forecast. In the summer, they both drop
         significantly, and the high load factor makes up
 9
         a much larger percentage at that point.
10
         I quess what I'm wondering is whether or not -- I
11
         guess what I'm wondering is whether or not that
12
         if that results in residential carrying some of
         the demand costs for commercial from the
13
14
         summertime? I realize that this is a -- this
15
         seems to be the result of the way that the
16
         ratemaking is structured. But is that part of
17
         the result?
18
         (Kahl) I think it's important to remember that
    Α
19
         the residential rate is calculated by taking the
20
         total demand cost for the season and dividing it
21
         by the total sales. So, it's, in a sense, the
22
         average rate. And the high load factor
23
         commercial rates are adjusted off of that.
24
         So, --
```

Okay. So, what you're saying -- I'm sorry

1

```
2
         to interrupt. What you're saying is that the --
 3
         you said the residential rate is calculated by
 4
         the total demand costs for the season divided by
 5
         the total sales. So, it's not actually taking
 6
         into account the demand costs outside of the
 7
         season. It's actually the demand costs for that
 8
         season. And then, the remainder of what you need
 9
         to collect is collected through the commercial
10
         and industrial?
11
         (Kahl) Yes. Yes. It's a somewhat simplified way
    Α
12
         of putting it, but, yes.
13
         I'm sure it is.
    Q
14
    Α
         (Kahl) Yes.
15
                   MS. SHUTE: Okay. Thank you.
16
                   WITNESS WELLS: Hi, Christa. If I may?
17
         I'm actually looking at Schedule 17. And, to
18
         kind of put it in perspective, what I've done is
19
         just add the residential -- the two "residential"
20
         columns. And this is on, and I apologize, it is
21
         on Bates Page 185. And I'm just calculating this
22
         right now in my spreadsheet version of this.
23
         Residential comprises 48 percent of winter sales
24
         and 43 percent of summer sales. So, I don't know
```

```
1
         that the -- you know, the level of
 2
         cross-subsidization that you are concerned with
 3
         may be, in fact, happening.
 4
         Okav. Yes. That's not --
 5
         (Wells) Because, you know, residential is a
 6
         substantial portion of both summer and winter
 7
         sales.
                   MS. SHUTE: Okay. That's helpful.
 9
         Thank you.
10
                    I don't have any further questions,
11
         Chairwoman Martin.
12
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you.
1.3
                   Mr. Taylor, do you have any more
14
         questions for your witnesses?
                   MR. TAYLOR: I have no redirect for the
15
16
         witnesses. Thank you.
17
                   CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Then,
18
         before we take closing arguments, without
19
         objection, we'll strike the ID on Exhibits 1, 2,
20
         3, and admit those as full exhibits.
21
                   Anything else we need to do before
22
         closings?
23
                    [No verbal response.]
24
                    CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Then,
```

1 Ms. Shute.

1.3

2.2

MS. SHUTE: Thank you very much. The [indecipherable audio] Advocate sees the rates and tariffs as set forth --

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Ms. Shute.

[Court reporter interruption due to indecipherable audio.]

MS. SHUTE: The Office of the Consumer Advocate sees the rates and tariffs as set forth in this Petition as just and reasonable, and recommends their approval by the Commission.

Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Thank you. Ms. Schwarzer.

MS. SCHWARZER: Thank you, Madam Chairwoman.

Staff has reviewed Northern's cost of gas filing and the Environmental Response Cost Report, and recommend that the Commission approve the proposed rates, supply balancing charges, gas allowance factor, and the capacity allocator percentages, short-term debt limits, and changes in interruptible transportation reporting requirements.

Northern's gas supply planning and dispatch is very similar to last year's, with an exception of COVID adjustments, and the cost allocations between Maine and New Hampshire transportation -- excuse me -- Maine and New Hampshire transportation and firm sales customers, and winter and summer periods have been calculated in accordance with prior approved allocation methodologies.

1.3

2.2

Staff finds the recommendation of
Northern's proposal just and reasonable. And
their rates and tariffs we recommend be
approved -- we recommend approval of the proposed
LDAC rates, designed to recover costs as provided
for in prior dockets and as approved by the
Commission.

CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. Thank you. And Mr. Taylor.

MR. TAYLOR: The Company thanks the Commission for giving us the opportunity to present our filing today. We appreciate the Commission's time, as well as the support of the Staff and the Consumer Advocate.

We really, with all of our cost of gas

filings, endeavor to submit a straightforward package for your consideration. And we've made every effort to include as much information as possible in the testimonies and schedules. believe that the filing merits the Commission's approval. And we, again, appreciate your time. Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Great. Thank you, everyone. We will take the matter under advisement and issue an order shortly. Have a great day. (Whereupon the hearing was adjourned at 11:03 a.m.)